

**Benjamin Doolittle**

Yesterday at 10:33 AM ·

In 1917 Canada had the conscription crisis, that blew up in many ways, The Mohawk were seen as subjects and conscribed the same as all other Canadians, But the Mohawk wrote to King George to reiterate the Treaties, and our Alliance with the British and Canadian Sovereign.

That was then corrected and the Mohawk were finally admitted not to be Canadian citizen or subject to Canada, and the Conscription act was changed to reflect that fact.

As Non Canadian citizens, Not Forced to go to wars, Somehow we are Taxed and Levied now as if we are citizens and forced to fund foreign wars and local strategies to squeeze us at home.

Comments

Cheyenne May Williams It was actually all of us not just the Mohawk but I get it, the other 5 nations are invisible

Like · Reply · 1d

11



Willi Nolan-Campbell #LawfulRebellion is the way forward imho

Waiting at the fort, asking for crumbs from the Great White Father ain't cutting it, is it?

Like · Reply · 1d

11



Doug George-Kanentiio But how do you respond to those businesses who now pay US and Canadian taxes? Or to those "native" police who enforce US and Canadian laws on Mohawk territory? Or the very existence of "tribal" and "band" councils? If I were a Canadian judge I would either direct the Mohawks to correct these contradictions or simply rule that since the Mohawks have, by their own volition, agree to pay taxes, license their vehicles and enforce provincial and or state laws then whatever independent elements they have left does not amount to a hill of beans. And when people start yelling sovereignty I'd ask: What talks, walks and acts like a duck is a duck. Not an eagle. You Mohawks are ducks.

Like · Reply · 11h

112



Benjamin Doolittle yes recently the Canadian courts opposed the residency by laws of the band council in one of the communities, saying that because the removal bylaw was never practiced, that it was then just a theory. still undermining because its the band making bylaws losing that case from not being able to practice their rights somehow. human/Canadian rights?

Like · Reply · 11h · Edited



Benjamin Doolittle the duck test is not definitive 😊

Like · Reply · 11h



Thohahente Weaver **Benjamin Doolittle** The council in question took an oath to the crown and are employees of the federal government.

Like · Reply · 9h

11



Benjamin Doolittle totally! 22

Like · Reply · 9h



Ian Carson You again are under a false assumption. You have been citizens since 1947. Horrible that it was not always so, but lets move forward. Yes during the war there no legislation that entered the native population into Citizenship, but it was changed shortly thereafter. The CANADIAN CITIZENSHIP ACT PROCLAIMED: 1947

2. Section 9 of the said Act is amended by adding thereto the following subsection:

(4) An Indian as defined in the Indian Act, or a person of the race of aborigines commonly referred to as Eskimos, other than a natural-born Canadian citizen, is a Canadian citizen if that person,

(a) had a place of domicile in Canada on the 1st day of January, 1947, and

(b) on the 1st day of January, 1956, had resided in Canada for more than ten years, and such a person is deemed to have become a Canadian citizen on the 1st day of January, 1947.

Like · Reply · 11h

Hide 142 Replies



Benjamin Doolittle please send this as a follow up to our email communication.

Like · Reply · 10h



Courtney A. Martin Unfortunately Mr. Carson your interpretation of this particular "Act" does not apply to Mohawks. We are NOT an Indian Act "band". Kanata aka "Canada" means Our Village and my brothers and sisters are scattered throughout our Village aka "Canada". The Ontario flag represents the UnionJack and The Red Nation and the British Crown is the protectorate. In 2007 I believe The Queen made your Prime Minister Stephan Harper stand before parliament and publicly announce that you are SUBJECTS OF THE BRITISH CROWN.

Like · Reply · 10h

22



Cheyenne May Williams We weren't even human until 2007, "citizenship" was for infrastructure and populace numbers only. We have never been nor have never declared ourselves citizens...u sir are under false assumption...

Like · Reply · 10h

22



Ian Carson Not meaning to be insulting, but do you have a Status Card?

Like · Reply · 10h



Benjamin Doolittle **Ian Carson** we are listed as refugees in the citizenship, immigration and refugee ministry who deals with the memberships.

Like · Reply · 10h



Benjamin Doolittle Also the Status card is not proof of citizenship.

Like · Reply · 10h · Edited

11



Ian Carson If you have a Status Card, then you are a citizen of Canada as of 1947. Status is defined under the Indian Act. Again the Act is a horrible piece of legislation but that is where we are no, and to move forward to correct the issues is the important thing.

Like · Reply · 10h



Ian Carson **Benjamin Doolittle** It proves you are of Indian status and in saying that it makes you a citizen under the Citizen act of 1947 section 2

Like · Reply · 10h



Benjamin Doolittle Request your proof from the ministry I have, they will not verify that Kanienkehaka are Canadian citizens, Canada cannot abrogate the relationship we have with its sovereign.

What your bringing up is secondary to THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BRANTFORD'S claims.

Like · Reply · 10h



Benjamin Doolittle They have expressly claimed they have the right to levy the Mohawk, not Canadian citizens. Canadian citizenship revoked nunc pro tunc...lol

Like · Reply · 9h · Edited



Ian Carson **Courtney A. Martin** How are the Mohawks not covered under the act? I had not heard this before, and would love to know.

Like · Reply · 10h



Benjamin Doolittle what you propose is application of a sphere of influence, which is unlawful, When a people tell you that you must not apply your claims onto them, then you must back off, or we are not at peace.

Like · Reply · 10h

11



Cheyenne May Williams Being a "statused Indian" doesn't make you Canadian. If per chance I wanted to vote, I can't use my status card as proof of citizenship, it's not even a valid form of ID in most cases. The reason it's seen as a border crossing document is because it's proof of our membership or affiliation with nations covered by the Jay Treaty, not because it's proof of citizenship. Which is why it can't be used to fly out of the country. But nice try

Like · Reply · 10h



Ian Carson **Benjamin Doolittle** by signing the land transfer document to receive the land you are entering an agreement to pay taxes for that property. Same as if I was from Britain, I would still have to pay taxes, but you get to vote and I would not, as a foreign national.

Like · Reply · 10h



Benjamin Doolittle There is flexibility built into that system, its not ours, but Canada somehow left that door open, it does not close behind the ones who choose to express their political voice.

Like · Reply · 10h



Benjamin Doolittle In our constitution you would not have a vote

Like · Reply · 10h



Benjamin Doolittle unless you are born from the womb of your mother nationality and citizenship., Canadian constitution is incompatible with our way of life. But You seem to want to get rid of how we do form our own identity.

Like · Reply · 10h



Ian Carson Benjamin Doolittle So do you not have a status card? They could not issue it if you were not a Status Indian (hate the word, but that is what the legislation calls it) and that then makes you under the Indian Act and a Canadian. So can you not apply for a Canadian Passport

Like · Reply · 10h



Benjamin Doolittle Then if its about that transfer document, We have already established our own Mohawk land registry, so if thats the contention, we have solved that.

Like · Reply · 10h



Benjamin Doolittle My Mohawk passport is considered a fantasy document by the Canadian regime.

Like · Reply · 10h



Benjamin Doolittle but that is the only ID i have

Like · Reply · 10h



Ian Carson Benjamin Doolittle No I don't, I am learning things today that I did not know, assumed were the case but the practice seems to be out of sync with legislation.

Like · Reply · 9h



Benjamin Doolittle Im glad you have hung around this long, 😊 It can only get better, just know its a bumpy ride.

Like · Reply · 9h

11



Benjamin Doolittle In 2006 the Canadian defence department classified the Mohawk as a terrorist organization, this is contrary to what an alleged Canadian citizen would be up to. We are still waiting for an official apology.

Like · Reply · 9h · Edited



Ian Carson Benjamin Doolittle I read about the lacrosse team issues, Dumb stuff, but until the Nation is a country to itself a lot of countries will not recognize it, and it needed security upgrades. That is a steep uphill fight, unless the Canadian government took to endorsing them as valid Canadian passports.

Like · Reply · 9h



Benjamin Doolittle Grand River Country was formally established in 1959

And including these liberation efforts.

Like · Reply · 9h



Benjamin Doolittle Have you heard of the bloodless revolution and the declaration of independence from then.

Like · Reply · 9h · Edited



Ian Carson Benjamin Doolittle Was it the Mohawk warriors group that was labeled, can't see the Mohawk people being labelled as a whole.

Like · Reply · 9h



Cheyenne May Williams So what u are telling us is that because of a piece of legislation from a corporation we predate and have no treaties with, u refused to recognize us as our individual and sovereign nations, in direct violation of the silver covenant chain and our alliance agreement with the British crown under which all Canadian citizens are still subject? In other words, ur saying that u are committing an act treason against the British dominion....

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 9h



Cheyenne May Williams [Ian Carson](#) six nations as a whole was not only labeled but shared that number one place of active terrorists with the Taliban. From 06-08

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 9h



Ian Carson [Cheyenne May Williams](#) no not at all. I thought the Mohawk were included in the treat surrounding the Six Nations. More research for me. Out west there are lots of no-treaty nations but I did not know that was the case here too.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 9h



Benjamin Doolittle We have whole and part obligations, and not all people are bound the same depending on the treaty and Civil rights they continue to possess from them,. But we as individuals are not obligated to be politically coherent to another.

You live in a lowerarchy, dictated by the hierarchy. Voting your voice onward and upward, losing control of your voice in the process.

We have retained our voice, there is no hierarchy, we are made brothers and sisters with your most high. And I see the misapplication of your laws as a slap in the face, and when you slap a mohawk you slap your own sovereign and queen.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 9h

11



Ian Carson [Cheyenne May Williams](#) I am sorry if people labeled you that, I have only had dealings with peaceful people on the Six Nations. And everyone should be able to stand up for what is right, and in most cases I would stand with you to affect change.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 9h



Cheyenne May Williams But u refuse to recognize us as who we are?

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 9h



Cheyenne May Williams Let the change start with u sir, recognize treaties made not acts and legislations imposed and forcibly enforced upon sovereign people by foreign governments...

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 9h



Ian Carson [Benjamin Doolittle](#) True you deal directly with the Federal, while I deal Municipally. designated by Province, further designated by the Federal. It can be an issue as the Feds tend to deal with you like wayward children not the real people you are.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 9h



Benjamin Doolittle Typically yes that is how it works, and I am switching that mode and working from the ground up to see where obligations are constructed or presented, and address them as we are now. But with a finer comb.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 9h

11



Ian Carson [Cheyenne May Williams](#) That is why I am here listening and learning. If Six Nations unilaterally claimed total independence, it would be 10 time harder to put it together than Brexit. Will it happen some day, If it is your peoples will then I will support it. If you decide to stay withing confederation as a partner state, that would be great too.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 9h



Ian Carson Almost missed the comment on Grand River Country, what is it?

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 9h · [Edited](#)



Benjamin Doolittle I see the corporation of the city of Brantford exactly as I see the Children's Aid Incorporated. I write to the CEO for clarity not the Mayor, and when I interviewed the CEO for the CAS he did say his corporation was empowered by the provincial acts, but that his services were 100% voluntary. He too agreed that there is a constitutional conflict and that there may be a fiduciary conflict as well. A few months later they abandoned ship on the reserve and started a partnership with the band council. By passing some pretty interesting legal details about our own (non-Canadian) constitutional and civil liberties.

Like · Reply · 9h



Benjamin Doolittle **Ian Carson** it encompasses the Grand River watershed.

Like · Reply · 9h



Cheyenne May Williams **Ian Carson** listening means not telling us who we are. We know who we are. You haven't done much listening to this point. Go back through your comments on this thread. You were out to prove a point. All we ask is instead of dictating Canadian Law's definition of who we are, you recognize that we are indeed sovereign NATIONS (I hate to keep pointing out there's more than just Mohawk)

Like · Reply · 9h



Ian Carson **Benjamin Doolittle** Google only brings up the Grand River Conservation Authority. You have a link of what it is you are talking about.

Like · Reply · 9h



Benjamin Doolittle **Cheyenne May Williams** keep bringing it up it's the only way 😊

Like · Reply · 9h



Benjamin Doolittle here's some info not sure what you need. <http://hrnabrantford.com/resources/newsclips/>



HRNABRANTFORD.COM

Newsclips - Haudenosaunee & Raseron:ni Neighbourhood Association of Brantford

Like · Reply · Remove Preview · 9h



Ian Carson **Cheyenne May Williams** I am just saying you can claim Canadian Citizenship by Canadian Law, not that you have to or to give up being Mohawk. Dual citizenship is pretty normal. I can claim British but see myself as Canadian and have not.

Like · Reply · 9h



Ian Carson **Benjamin Doolittle** Did not know anything about it, so did not know what to ask for to start looking.

Like · Reply · 9h



Benjamin Doolittle not sure if you can read the small print, I have it typed out on the other link.



Like · Reply · 9h



Benjamin Doolittle Claim denied!...lol

Like · Reply · 9h



Benjamin Doolittle The Six Nations Band Council suggests that we have dual citizenship, presumably Canadian and American, but they won't verify, but only because we are also citizens of North America. So that's three, and if I also

am mohawk citizen and haudenosaunee citizen that's five.

In Canada it is unlawful to possess two certificates of citizenship, I only hold the mohawk one. So I can be lawful, and properly recognized.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 9h · [Edited](#)



Benjamin Doolittle On memorial day at ohsweken, I asked the American soldier why they had a flag with the American and Canadian flag combined, he said it represents the six nations rights to their own sovereignty and that they had no border between them can-am border.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 9h · [Edited](#)

22



Ian Carson So the Traditional council tried to take back control from the Federal imposed band council, is what I got out of it. Hard to read, but very interesting. I had heard that you can cross back and forth between US and Canada freely. My Parents are dual and can carry both IDs, just they need to travel on Canadian passport leaving and returning to Canada. 5 citizenships is a lot to have to wrap your head around. Who issues the Mohawk passport Traditional government or the Band Council?

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 9h



Benjamin Doolittle not the band council. The haudenosaunee passport is more well known, but Canada does list both as fantasy documents.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 9h



Benjamin Doolittle the mohawk passport was first issued to Levi General to go to Geneva in 1923

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 8h



Benjamin Doolittle here it's referred to as a state, <http://hrnabrantford.com/.../imperium-in-imperio-state.../>



[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · [Remove Preview](#) · 8h



Ian Carson **Benjamin Doolittle** Probably because the Band council is the recognized government of the Six Nations by the Feds so anything else would not be recognized. What a mess it is. If the Feds will only recognize the band council, why do the people not just vote in the traditional council?

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 8h



Benjamin Doolittle Well answer this why can band council be certified to represent our interests with .03% voter turnout

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 8h




Benjamin Doolittle Recognition by United Nations Organization or by other states is important for every sovereign country, but it is not a necessary prerequisite for its existence. The Article 3 of Montevideo Convention on the Rights and Duties of States (which is considered as major part of customary international law), states:

"The political existence of the state is independent of recognition by the other states." [1]

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 8h



Benjamin Doolittle You may find this documentary helpful, www.grandrivercountry.org/about



[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · [Remove Preview](#) · 8h



Cheyenne May Williams [Ian Carson](#) I'm not Mohawk. I'm one of the invisible. While I share the same treaty rights with the Mohawks, we are often forgotten and treated as outsiders with no place

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 8h



Benjamin Doolittle Its true, its sucks. our fight is larger than I can even comprehend because of it. But I have my own starting points, that being the pursuit of my national interests, I hope you pursue the same. And coalesce resources as advancements are made in kind.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 8h · [Edited](#)



Cheyenne May Williams Considering our national interests are the same, it makes zero sense to run against each to get to the same finish line instead of following the relationship and unity we agreed to under the tree...taking care of each other is the only way we can continue to survive. But what do I know? I'm just a lowly Cayuga....

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 8h



Cheyenne May Williams [Ian Carson](#) I don't want to continue this argument with u, I know what u said there was no "u can" it was "u are" correct urself if necessary but don't say u said something u didn't

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 8h



Benjamin Doolittle they may align but I don't think they are the same, that is the point I thought we are trying to make here, we are distinct nations, who are members of the league of nations by alliance, I always thought that the internal affairs of the one nation are internal. And Confederacy business is confederacy business when the league is concerned.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 8h



Ian Carson [Cheyenne May Williams](#) sorry you are considered invisible. I was stating you are considered under the legislation, but you choose not to be. But that may be the case if you are not in a covered by a treaty and that is wrong. (or Right if that is what you wish it to be) All I am saying is there is a choice to make you can make it or not. I am not saying make the one I want, it is for your best interest you can chose. I will support your choice.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 8h



Benjamin Doolittle [Ian Carson](#) Its not a choice for us we are born into our nations. Its in our DNA.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 8h

11



Ian Carson [Benjamin Doolittle](#) What I was getting at was one voice that talks to the Canadian Government, currently there seems to be two so the Feds just pick the one they created and ignore the other. I think that needs to be settled before any real reforms will happen. How that happens I have no vision of, but I hope that it does. If I can help I will.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 8h



Benjamin Doolittle The two questions I have been asking for 10 years need to be answered and admitted to by people like yourself.

Does the Office of Mayor/CEO and /or Council of the Corporation of the City of Brantford represent Kanienkehaka (Mohawk)? We Answered: " No, Kanienkehaka have a pre-colonial representational framework that is integral to the maintenance of their culture."

Do Kanienkehaka (Mohawk) qualify to vote or become elected in the municipal elections? We Answered: "No, according to the Municipal elections act, you must be a Canadian citizen, Kanienkehaka are NOT Canadian citizens.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 8h



Ian Carson You can choose to be, and to get the representation in Brantford you want you need to. I have learned some stuff today, that opened my eyes to look for more details. As a possible representative of Brantford it is my job to listen, alter my thoughts on issues to the best of the people I represent no matter who they are. Getting rid of the lens I see through is hard, but with help that can be done. If I am doing wrong, I hope you would call me out, and help me see more clearly, so that I can represent you as best as I can. I will always listen.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 8h



Benjamin Doolittle The appeal to conscience is not an appeal for the Mohawk to be somehow better represented by the Canadian, it is an appeal for self admittance that the Mohawk through powerful alliances have become at par with the Sovereign of Canada, I would expect that as her Majesty's lowerarchy that the honor between the Mohawk and the British/Canadian Sovereign is not harmed in your enjoyment of your duties.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 8h



Benjamin Doolittle Its part of national liberation movement and not to be confused with a suffrage movement.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 7h



Ian Carson Benjamin Doolittle I was referring to the people living inside the Ward 5 Boundary, in representing them to the City of Brantford. Nothing to do with Sovereignty within the Six Nations and representation to the Federal Government. We will work out how ... [See More](#)


[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 7h

11



Benjamin Doolittle We asked the courts and the city CFO, CAO, and CEO for proof how we are your constituents, by definition I am not a constituent of Canada/Brantford. Even former councillor Jan Vander Stelt could not answer the question at our property.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rxl_xKZxXmk&t=



YOUTUBE.COM

**Colonial Representative in
ERROR, Two Row Wampum,
Kanienk'haka Member**

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · [Remove Preview](#) · 7h · [Edited](#)



Ian Carson Benjamin Doolittle how I see it is if you live in the ward, my responsibility is to protect your interests. Does not matter to me if you are Canadian or not, voted for me, against me or did not vote. You are my neighbour. Even if you don't recognise th... [See More](#)

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 7h



Benjamin Doolittle That is how good neighbors should be, but you are suggesting that you ambiguously represent me because of proxy, or that you can comprehend my interests, which are other worldly (alien to you). Could you list them? This ambiguity means to me that you could then be acting outside your official mandate, and if found as such can be seen to be unconstitutional. And In insurance point of view, you as a bonded agent are not covered for acts outside the office. Meaning that you then become personally liable for any harm, And when you claim the right to represent me without a contract, you become a fiduciary de sont tort and governed by the mandate created by me.

I see the Mohawk as Big Brothers to Canada, that is how the house is ordered.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 7h · [Edited](#)



Robert Maracle It all comes back to the birth certificate being register under the province. Now you are bonded as a child of the province Indians since 1951. Therefore placing us as defacto parents. Social insurance number is connected. Make sure to pay the debt. The corporation of Canada bankrupted in 1938 and became a defacto government. What are bonds and how do they work against you as a citizen?

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 7h



Benjamin Doolittle Robert Maracle do you think that the bond or joinder is a contract and if so would Canadians need to honor their obligation to their section 35. Can A Minor enter into a contract, or be sold into bondage legally?

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 6h



Robert Maracle What would the remedy be to correct with our rights reserved out of bondage?
Indian, Indian person, Indians property

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 5h



Robert Maracle Benjamin Doolittle status correction

Like · Reply · 5h



Benjamin Doolittle Robert Maracle one thing you mention is the birth certificate, that is a serious implication. We were able to get our kids out of the hospital without registering them.

Like · Reply · 5h



Benjamin Doolittle <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Extraterritoriality>

Princess Margriet of the Netherlands was born on 19 January 1943 in Ottawa Civic Hospital, Ottawa, Ontario, as the family had been living in Canada since June 1940 after the occupation of the Netherlan... [See More](#)



EN.WIKIPEDIA.ORG
Extraterritoriality - Wikipedia

Like · Reply · Remove Preview · 5h



Cheyenne May Williams I'll just leave this here....



Like · Reply · 4h



Ian Carson Cheyenne May Williams as Mohawk he is entitled to Citizenship and Government treats him as such, but his choice to be a citizen or not. My comment was showing that his assumption that all were non-citizens was incorrect. You can be under the legislation if you chose not to then that is a personal choice not that all were not.

Like · Reply · 3h · Edited



Benjamin Doolittle entitled vs application, sure we may be entitled but the false assumption of yours is misapplication of Canadian citizenship. And its a very dangerous assumption, it undermines our national interests.

Like · Reply · 3h



Ian Carson Benjamin Doolittle using the system as it is at the current time, does not deny that it can change. Easier to change from within. Exercise your votes to make changes happen now, if it leads to your own nation that great too.

Like · Reply · 3h



Benjamin Doolittle Under the heading "Application of Charter" the section states:

“ 32. (1) This Charter applies
a) to the Parliament and government of Canada in respect of all matters within the authority of Parliament including all matters relating to the Yukon Territory and Northwest Territories; and
b) to the legislature and government of each province in respect of all matters within the authority of the legislature of each province.
(2) Notwithstanding subsection (1), section 15 shall not have effect until three years after this section comes into force.
”

The purpose of this section is to make it clear that the Charter only applies to governments, and not to private individuals, businesses or other organizations.

We are an "other organization" outside the charter, and outside the Canadian constitution, section 35 does not invite us in, it makes a burden for you to recognize the Other Organization.

Like · Reply · 3h



Cheyenne May WilliamsI thought u were here to listen and learn Ian?

Like · Reply · 3h

11



Ian Carson Cheyenne May Williams I am, but you also need to see that I am bound by the legislation. You may choose not to be Canadian, but that does not deny that you can be. If you choose not to, then you have a harder time changing legislation or even gaining full nation status. I can help but only within the constraints of the law.

Like · Reply · 3h



Benjamin Doolittle Ian Carson we are outlaws lol. you're not getting it Canada is third party to the treaties they have no jurisdiction to make any de it is the founding laws. But they are being broken, and not by us.

Like · Reply · 3h · Edited



Benjamin Doolittle



Like · Reply · 3h



Ian Carson Benjamin Doolittle Eldridge v. British Columbia shows that organizations that act like government are deemed government under the charter. That would then cover the Band Council at least. I

Like · Reply · 3h



Benjamin Doolittle the band council corporation is a child of the feds, it's not to be confused with actual PEOPLES.

Like · Reply · 3h · Edited



Ian Carson Benjamin Doolittle that will have to look into. Governed general is crown representative and technically heads the Government so it should be. Not sure if that had ever been tested in court.

Like · Reply · 3h



Benjamin Doolittle When governments use commercial instruments they become mere corporations and not actual de jure governments.

Like · Reply · 3h · Edited



Ian Carson Benjamin Doolittle that I agree wholeheartedly. They band councils were created by the feds over the people

Like · Reply · 3h · Edited



Ian Carson Benjamin Doolittle how do you see the answer to this. The list to work toward?

Like · Reply · 3h



Cheyenne May Williams Ian Carson I understand that fully, but we aren't so don't put us in ur boat. What ur saying right now and what u said initially aren't adding up. Instead continually back peddling a "i was mistaken" goes a long way. And in understanding our stance u have to let go of the constructs of Canadian law and think outside the box. U do that with an open mind and closed mouth as I was told by my elders

Haha · Reply · 3h

112



Benjamin Doolittle Im not asking if Canada or Brantford can prove how they represent the Band Council, I know how, its legal magic. But there is no chance in hell they can prove that they represent that actual Kanienkehaka they would need to have a treaty.

Good question, I have many workable and preliminary solutions.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 3h



Ian Carson **Cheyenne May Williams** Williams Lol, there is always something I am mistake on, I do not cling to positions if they are not correct. I started as we did not let you be Canadian was incorrect, but have found it is you don't want to be, to stay true to your own nation. So both were correct from their own view. After a day of talking, understanding slowly approaches.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 3h · [Edited](#)

11



Ian Carson **Benjamin Doolittle** I guess then not represent their nationality, but just what the resident wishes from the city.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 3h



Benjamin Doolittle **Ian Carson** the main list Im using is the objectives listed in the mission statement, www.brantfordtax.com

But you need to check out the word resident it may be interchanged with the word citizens here, I think you have a lot of legal finesse to achieve, keep thinking your almost there.

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Residency_\(domicile\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Residency_(domicile))

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 3h · [Edited](#)



Ian Carson **Benjamin Doolittle** I did mean resident as in of the city. Not citizen of the country. If you reside in town. Sorry if that was not clear.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 3h · [Edited](#)



Benjamin Doolittle **Ian Carson** "to dwell permanently or continuously : occupy a place as one's legal domicile"

That's under domicile laws, but there is a legal right and a lawful right.

You have a legal right to be on the land, and I have a lawful right to be on the land.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 2h



Ian Carson **Benjamin Doolittle** Ok, I am the one to call if city has not plowed your road. Lol.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 2h



Benjamin Doolittle The Court of Chancery in 1883 in rejecting the concept of an Anglo-Chinese domicile, where Chitty J of the Court of Chancery stated that "There is no authority that I am aware of in English law that an individual can become domiciled as a member of a community which is not the community possessing the supreme or sovereign territorial power." This was later endorsed by Judicial Committee of the Privy Council in 1888, in holding that "residence in a foreign country, without subjection to its municipal laws and customs, is therefore ineffectual to create a new domicile."

The reasoning behind such decisions was never satisfactorily explained, and the House of Lords later held in 1918 that these rulings built on dicta were wrongly decided and were thus swept aside. In holding that domicile in a foreign State could be properly acquired in such circumstances, Lord Finlay LC declared:

"Before special provision was made in the case of foreigners resident in such countries for application to their property of their own law of succession, for their trial on criminal charges by Courts which will command their confidence, and for the settlement of disputes between them and others of the same nationality by such Courts, the presumption against the acquisition of a domicile in such a country might be regarded as overwhelming, unless under very special circumstances. But since special provision for the protection of foreigners in such countries has been made, the strength of the presumption against the acquisition of a domicile there is very much diminished.

.....

The treaties being the supreme law of the land, and secondary is the constitutional law.

This is a great place to dig in, We have to resist the municipalities presumption of representation to legally not become residents...ha

And yeah, as long as it's you plowing ... 😊

Like · Reply · 2h

11



Ian Carson Benjamin Doolittle if residence is deemed not of the municipality all services would stop. The could fence it in and it would become an island worse case. Would it then switch from a property tax to a billing for services? Your thoughts on how the services would be paid for?

Like · Reply · 2h



Benjamin Doolittle Ian Carson That would seem like the logical step, it would help even regular consumers see the transparency of how the tax or "Bill" is itemized and raises in prices would be better understood.

In 1996 Brantford commissioned a report that found it owed 250 billion for unpaid lease monies, Brantford was sent an invoice in 2014 for close to one trillion for unpaid lease payments and overuse of the lands.

One solution to the payment of the "bill" is to offset/charge the bill against the 250 billion.

Like · Reply · 2h · Edited



Ian Carson Benjamin Doolittle it would not be proper to pay out a personal bill from a nation's account. Would the bill not really go to the feds as That is who the nation deal directly with?

Like · Reply · 2h



Benjamin Doolittle If 100 Mohawks in the first year take up a property as non-residents, and we agree to our own services and create them we could more than begin our infrastructural needs. With an increase of 10% uptake "property" a year we would have our own schools in no time.

Like · Reply · 2h · Edited



Benjamin Doolittle then in 10 year we have 1000 properties, and Brantford/Mohawks may be able to start Mohawk tourist traps and become a cultural mecca like it was meant to be.

Like · Reply · 2h · Edited



Ian Carson Benjamin Doolittle you can't infrastructure the 6 nation's, show would you do it in Brantford?

Like · Reply · 2h



Ian Carson Benjamin Doolittle I would live to see the culture come out and be exhibited in Brantford.

Like · Reply · 2h



Benjamin Doolittle not pipes or oil lines. we already have that, your pipes are affixed to our lands making them part and whole of the land. Immovable assets are usable assets.

Like · Reply · 2h



Benjamin Doolittle You mean Canada can't infrastructure the rez. 😊

Like · Reply · 2h

11



Ian Carson Benjamin Doolittle that will probably never happen. I could see like 10% of property taxes goes to the six nation or some such type of arrangement. But that will only be after a long dragged out fight. 🙄 I agree they don't? Like you fire dept gets about half per person as Brantford pays its.

Like · Reply · 2h



Benjamin Doolittle They are being given the same treatment I am giving the municipality, ha, they only have jurisdiction on the reserve.

Like · Reply · 2h



Courtney A. Martin Ian Carson The Mohawks nor any of the Distinct Nations have a Treaty with your Defacto

Governments.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 2h



[Ian Carson](#) [Courtney A. Martin](#) which don't? I have really only started learning more about the Six Nations. I know out west most nationd don't have treaties.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 1h



[Benjamin Doolittle](#) numbered treaties are seen by canada as internal agreements which make that a domestic matter, But the treaty we have with the British and canada is obligated to honor is international.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 1h



[Ian Carson](#) [Benjamin Doolittle](#) but they pay for the service there not in brantford, so how would your sewage get treated if not by municipal system at a cost to you.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 1h



[Benjamin Doolittle](#) mutually beneficial agreements, the land was given to use under the agreement that it was to be only to the depth of the plough, So I contend that your resources have been buried in land not entitled to be used, so its an unjust enrichment. and we should be compensated by use and fair trade.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 1h



[Ian Carson](#) [Benjamin Doolittle](#) When the crown released Canada did the treaty stay with Canads or is British still the treaty holder.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 1h



[Benjamin Doolittle](#) Im sure that was made a consideration while the CEO Bob Taylor conducted his commision.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 1h



[Ian Carson](#) [Benjamin Doolittle](#) that is a excellent point. Do you have a copy of the original treaty? Side note does that mean all chemical contamination is your problem, just kidding.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 1h



[Benjamin Doolittle](#) To maintain continuity of the right to use of the queens treaty, was to allow the british parliament to give canada life, and canada is a creation or child of the british parliament. Its not yet cut the umbilical cord.

If canada did 100% sever from the Queen, they would have no legal right to occupy this land. They would become strangers.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 1h



[Benjamin Doolittle](#) The council people take the athenian oath to do no harm and leave the land better than they found it. 😊

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 1h

11



[Ian Carson](#) [Benjamin Doolittle](#) then you should take over the US as it was taken from the queen who took it from you. I bet half of Americans would appreciate it at the moment

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 1h



[Benjamin Doolittle](#) lol

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 1h



[Ian Carson](#) [Benjamin Doolittle](#) I totally agree, I am borrowing it from my future generations.

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 1h



[Courtney A. Martin](#) [Ian Carson](#) Mohawk Nation, Oneida Nation, Cayuga Nation, Ononadaga Nation and Seneca Nation.

Your Government is in debt Trillions of dollars. They can't even make the interest payments on leased lands and they haven't even begun to pay back the loans from our Trust Fund to build the rail lines, the Welland Canal,

Osgoode Hall. We bailed Carlton University out of debt... And this is only a few listings. Trying to tax a Mohawk on their own land to help pay back a debt owed by your government is the most absurd thing in the world.

Like · Reply · 1h



Benjamin Doolittle **Ian Carson** the first strike In British/America was Sam Adams and his posse of 300 pretend and costumed faux-Mohawk warriors to freak the mundanes and british, and the world stood back in wonder thinking that the mohawk did not want to be unjustly taxed without the possibility of proper representation, sparking the war, and using our rights to assert their own liberation movement.

Now we are doing the same but as actual mohawks...lol,

Like · Reply · 1h · Edited

11



Ian Carson Do you know more about the 1851 letter sent to the British to send a Indian agent to replace the French Seminary's religious authority. This would be the start if the protectorate of the Mohawk by the British.

Like · Reply · 1h



Benjamin Doolittle **Courtney A. Martin** economic welfare in lieu of payment for "services." lol

Like · Reply · 1h

11



Ian Carson **Courtney A. Martin** I do not k now enough even to comment. I have read very little on that at all.

Like · Reply · 1h



Benjamin Doolittle **Ian Carson** not off by hand, but I know about the protectorate status, that also speaks to the right to retain our inherent identity and including citizenship. Which would fall in the Governor general's office.

Like · Reply · 1h

11



Ian Carson **Benjamin Doolittle** I have a ton of reading to do.

Like · Reply · 1h



Ian Carson **Benjamin Doolittle** But that act would have been your people placing yourself under British rule, then Canada when it was born. The crown didn't even care much about its own settlers though either at the time.

Like · Reply · 1h



Benjamin Doolittle No time did our people come under the rule of the british, Canada in 1919 was adopted by the mohawk, and all the nations of the league. So they came under our rule, but in 1924 one year after we attempted to join the united nations, the RCMP raided the council chamber to steal records of the canadain governor general voting on canadian and confederacy matters, was seen as a ceding of sovereignty to the confederacy.

Like · Reply · 1h · Edited




Benjamin Doolittle http://one-flint.org/pdf/one-flint_timeline.pdf

Like · Reply · 1h



Benjamin Doolittle <http://digital.library.upenn.edu/.../vancouver-15.html>



Like · Reply · Remove Preview · 1h



Ian Carson Have to read more on It, but it asked for a Indian agent to replace French rule, when France ceded lands to Britain. So is France started it all.

Like · Reply · 1h



Lorne Smith Holy heck. When you all figure in agreement who we Mohawks are let me know will yas? Gettin tired of everyone except creator tell me who I am

213



[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 10h · [Edited](#)



Donald Smoke That good

[Like](#) · [Reply](#) · 6h

[About](#) [Create Ad](#) [Create Page](#) [Developers](#) [Careers](#) [Privacy](#) [Cookies](#) [Ad Choices](#) [Terms](#) [Help](#) [Settings](#) [Activity Log](#)

Facebook © 2018

[English \(US\)](#) [Français \(Canada\)](#) [Español](#) [中文\(简体\)](#) [한국어](#) [日本語](#) [Português \(Brasil\)](#) [Deutsch](#) [Italiano](#) [العربية](#) [हिन्दी](#)